

Randy Hawkins MD **“Denise, this is Randy in Inglewood.” “I withdraw my second. I’ve reconsidered.”**

Denise asks: Denise Pines (President) **“Are you putting something on the table?”**

Randy Hawkins MD **“I am not.”**

Laurie Lubiano JD: This is Laurie. I have some feedback. **Based on the public comment I’m of the opinion that I think we need an amendment and so I would be open to supporting with an amendment.** And I am open to dialogue with the board in terms of what could be a viable amendment that finds some middle ground.

Randy Hawkins MD **This is Randy again. I was impressed by the amount of concern from the public and I thought I had decided before I came in but I’ve gotten some literature and that made me rethink it. I don’t have a specific recommendation for an amendment however but I am concerned enough about it to take the second away.**

David Warmoth This is David. **I would also support, supporting if amended and the amendment would go to looking at the narrowing of reasons for an exemption that’s apparently in the current bill.**

Laurie Lubiano JD: This is Laurie. **With respect to an amendment I felt that the public brought up different viewpoints about vaccine injury, previous history, family history, perhaps genetic predisposition supported by genetic testing and also there are some terms that we could put in there so that it’s not just limited to CDC guidelines alone.**

Michelle Bholat MD (Secretary) Hi this is Michelle Bholat and **I echo Dr Hawkins point of view. I am most concern of the statement of the public health officer, the removal of the physician and doctor relationship. I also would like to see more information on epigenetics, there are definite areas in communities of color that are concerned about these issues and I think that the public laid some very important things very important, the CDC does provide guidelines and as far as those that are physicians in the group you are well aware that is the pediatrician the family physician that are providing large amounts of these vaccines to children and I would like to our research scientists to those areas that are going to be informing us of what we can call the true north. And if the true north are those things or those issues that even when you look at a family history and you look at epigenetics where is that information and again that true north.**

You know I having been in public health for a long time I am a huge supporter of vaccines, I believe in vaccines, I understand the issues of herd immunity **but the issues that were raised here are concerning enough for a deeper dive and I think that I would like to see a broader discussion and I would support a, I would want to see things amended here** and I don’t unfortunately have a list to be able to give you but there are some concerns that are definitely raised here that I think that we should learn.

Felix Yip MD This is Dr Yip. **It's just that listening to the public literature there are so many amendments that I am not sure that we can go and support it because there are so many amendments and changes.**

For example, the physician seems to be (inaudible) as far as **getting informed consent and educating the parents or guardian whether vaccination is suitable for the children.** And second **I do have concerns with having the state officer to do exemptions.** Not knowing who they are, are they trained and how broad the spectrum, for example, **CDC guidelines sort of strict as the bill put on the table.** And the other issue is also is the **data collected that the school can access who the children that are exempt that again I think needs to be really looked at because it details doctor patient privacy.**

I think that we do want to have the authority to subpoena the records right now we do have problems there are some schools suspect that some physicians are writing a lot of exemptions without good cause and our hands are tied because we cannot get those records.

I think that we should look into the direction of how the medical board in certain circumstances has the authority to subpoena record if you think the physician has abused those exemptions {*inaudible*} state officers or officers locally to give exemptions.

**So I'm not sure how many amendments we'd make in order to have this support with amendments you'd pretty much have to change the whole bill.**

Felix Yip MD

This is Dr Yip **I would like them to really work on the details on how to implement and protect those data because we do not want a kid who is legitimately exempted and potentially be discriminated by school because some school may find out they're exempt or for another reason may not want them in the school so I think we need to give very serious thought about how do they, they can get the data but how do they use it**